

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,588	05/01/2001	Steven A. Goldman	19603/3232 (CRF D-2587B)	4784
75	90 02/28/2002			
Michael L. Goldman, Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, QUANG	
P.O. Box 31051	•			
Rochester, NY 14603-1051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	5
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002	a

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	09/846,588	GOLDMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE And	Quang Nguyen	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/846,588

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, 13-21, 25-27, 28-40 and 44-47, drawn to methods of inducing neuronal production in post-natal and adult brain and spinal cord or of recruiting neurons to a subject's brain or of treating a neurodegenerative condition comprising the providing a nucleic acid construct encoding a neurotrophic factor, wherein the neurotrophic factor is selected from the group consisting of brain-derived neurotrophic factor, neurotrophin-4/5 and neurotrophin-3, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 1-6, 10, 13-18, 22, 25-27, 28-37, 41 and 44-46, drawn to methods of inducing neuronal production in post-natal and adult brain and spinal cord or of recruiting neurons to a subject's brain or of treating a neurodegenerative condition comprising providing a nucleic acid construct encoding a neurotrophic factor, wherein the neurotrophic factor is insulinlike growth factor-1, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claims 1-6, 11-12, 13-18, 22-24, 25-27, 28-37, 42-44 and 44-46, drawn to methods of inducing neuronal production in post-natal and adult brain and spinal cord or of recruiting neurons to a subject's brain or of treating a neurodegenerative condition comprising providing a nucleic acid construct encoding a neurotrophic factor, wherein the neurotrophic factor is noggin



Art Unit: 1636

or an inhibitor of bone morphogenic proteins, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

Additionally, **further group restriction** is required because claims 29 and 45 comprise a plurality of disclosed patentably methods for treating: (a) Huntington's disease, (b) Parkinson's disease, (c) amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, (d) multiple sclerosis, (e) stroke, (f) traumatic injury to the brain, and (g) traumatic injury to spinal cord, that lack unity of invention. Applicants is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a specific neurodegenerative disease.

Claims 28, 33, 34-44 and 47 link the above patentably distinct inventions. The restriction requirement between linked inventions is subject to the non-allowance of the linking claims 28, 33, 34-44 and 47. The restriction requirement between linked inventions is subject to the non-allowance of the linking claim(s), 28, 33, 34-44 and 47.

Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked invention shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims or the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,588

Art Unit: 1636

See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-132(CCPA 1971). See also MPEP 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-III differ one from the other because they utilize patentably distinct nucleic acid constructs encoding neurotrophic factors such as the brain-derived neurotrophic factor, neurotrophin-4/5, neurotrophin-3, insulin-like growth factor-1, noggin and an inhibitor of bone morphogenic protein that lack the unity of invention. The encoded neurotrophic factors in Inventions I-III differ in amino acid sequence homology, three dimensional structures, biochemical properties, and they belong to different families of polypeptide growth factors. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because the encoded neurotrophic factors in Inventions I-III are distinct gene products.

Additional group restriction is also required because there is a lack of unity of invention among the various neurodegenerative conditions recited in the claims. There is no common etiology, disease progression, symptoms among Huntington's disease, Parkinson's disease, stroke, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and others. As such, the methods for treating these different neurodegenerative conditions require different material (e.g., patients suffering from these diseases) and considerations for achieving the desired therapeutic end results.

Species Restriction:



Art Unit: 1636

Claims 13-24 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of the site to which neurons are recruited to:

(a) the olfactory bulb, (b) the basal ganglia of the brain, (c) the caudate nucleus, (d) the putamen, (e) the globus pallidus, (f) the cortex.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a specifically named species as indicated above.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,588

Art Unit: 1636

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons set forth above, it would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and/or consider the patentability of all of the inventions in a single application. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang Nguyen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-8339.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's mentor, Dave Nguyen, may be reached at (703) 305-2024, or SPE, Irem Yucel, at (703) 305-1998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tracey Johnson, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2982.

Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.

DAVET. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER